



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/725,122	11/29/2000	Tapio Mansikkaniemi	017.38961X00	9055

20457 7590 03/10/2004

ANTONELLI, TERRY, STOUT & KRAUS, LLP
1300 NORTH SEVENTEENTH STREET
SUITE 1800
ARLINGTON, VA 22209-9889

EXAMINER

SAX, STEVEN PAUL

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2174

DATE MAILED: 03/10/2004

8

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/725,122

Applicant(s)

MANSIKKANIEMI ET AL.

Examiner

Steven P Sax

Art Unit

2174

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 November 2003.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 27 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-27 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 13 November 2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

Art Unit: 2174

DETAILED ACTION

1. This application has been examined. The amendment filed 11/13/03 has been received.

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in-

(1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or
(2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).

3. Claims 1-8, 10-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Godfrey et al (6463463).

4. Regarding claim 1, Godfrey et al show an electronic calendar system (column 3 lines 40-45, column 4 lines 17-30) with: a plurality of wireless devices (column 4 lines 58-63, column 7 lines 40-43), an access point in wireless communication with the wireless devices (column 3 lines 20-38, column 7 lines 35-50), a server connected to the access point (column 7 lines 30-45), with the wireless devices being connected to

Art Unit: 2174

the server through the access point in order to equally obtain calendar data service (column 7 lines 39-5, column 8 lines 25-35, column 9 lines 1-15, Figure 1).

5. Regarding claim 2, the network is the Internet (column 7 lines 38-42).
6. Regarding claim 3, a device may be connected through a second access point (column 7 lines 30-40, column 4 lines 50-66).
7. Regarding claim 4, a global address server is connected to the access (column 7 lines 25-40).
8. Regarding claim 5, the calendar service is fetched from the server (column 8 lines 25-32).
9. Regarding claim 6, data is authenticated to a member of the group (column 12 lines 57-65).
10. Regarding claim 7, the authentication is done at the user's terminal (column 12 lines 57-65).

Art Unit: 2174

11. Regarding claim 8, the calendar data is displayed in linear fashion (Figure 10).
12. Regarding claim 10, the display changes when a new entry is typed on the keyboard (column 9 lines 52-62 for example).
13. Regarding claim 11, the calendar data may partly be originated from another application of the server (like the e-mail application in column 10 lines 25-40).
14. Claim 12 shows the same features as above and is rejected for the same reasons.
15. Regarding claim 13, in addition to the aforementioned, each devices has individual calendar data only accessible by itself (column 12 lines 57-65).
16. Regarding claims 14 and 15, person data is also used and accessed (column 8 lines 45-56).
17. Regarding claim 16, different weekly and daily views may be available (Figures 6, 11-13).

Art Unit: 2174

18. Claims 17-20 show the same features as above and are rejected for the same reasons.
19. Regarding claim 21, in addition to the aforementioned, note the notice board data (column 5 lines 45-57).
20. Claim 22 shows the same features as above and is rejected for the same reasons.
21. Regarding claim 23, in addition to the aforementioned, note again the gateway system with identified terminal (column 7 lines 35-43, column 10 lines 53-67), and browser with configuration tools (column 10 lines 45-65).
22. Regarding claims 24-27, the calendar data and notice data are user family data retrieved from the server (column 5 lines 30-52).
23. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

24. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Godfrey et al .

25. Regarding claim 9, Godfrey et al do not specifically go into the details of different colors for calendar entries, but do mention presenting calendar entries for easy access (column 16 lines 11-35). Furthermore, Examiner takes Official Notice that it is very common to present data on a user interfaces in different colors for easy access. It would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art to have this in Godfrey et al, because it would present calendar entries for easy access.

26. Applicant's arguments filed 11/13/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Regardless of the trigger system, Godfrey shows the features as recited. Also, the equal access, as would be referred to in the claims is in fact in Godfrey as shown. Applicants mention a variety of features but note that the claim recitation does not substantiate the arguments as given. Note that the claims are broad – applicants are invited to contact Examiner for claim interpretation. The 112 rejections have been removed as claims have been corrected.

27. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the mailing date of this final action.

28. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven P Sax whose telephone number is 703-305-9582. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30AM - 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kristine Kincaid can be reached on 703-308-0640. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/725,122
Art Unit: 2174

Page 8

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).


STEVEN SAX
PRIMARY EXAMINER